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Topology of electron wavefunctions was first introduced to characterize the quantum Hall states in two dimensions discovered in 1980 (ref. 1). Over the past decade, it has been recognized that symmetry plays a crucial role in the classification of topological phases, leading to the broad notion of symmetry-protected topological phases. As a primary example, topological insulators²–⁴ are distinguished from normal insulators in the presence of time-reversal symmetry (T). A three-dimensional (3D) topological insulator³–⁶ exhibits an odd number of protected surface Dirac cones, a unique property that cannot be realized in any 2D systems. Importantly, the existence of topological insulators requires Kramers’ degeneracy in spin-orbit coupled electronic materials; this forbids any direct analogue in boson systems. In this report, we discover a 3D topological photonic crystal phase hosting a single surface Dirac cone, which is protected by a crystal symmetry⁷–¹⁰—the nonsymmorphic glide reflection¹¹–¹³ rather than T. Such a gapless surface state is fully robust against random disorder of any type¹⁴–¹⁶. This bosonic topological band structure is achieved by applying alternating magnetization to gap out the 3D ‘generalized Dirac points’ discovered in the bulk of our crystal. The Z₂ bulk invariant is characterized through the evolution of Wannier centres¹⁷–¹⁹. Our proposal—readily realizable using ferrimagnetic materials at microwave frequencies²⁰–²²—expands the scope of 3D topological materials from fermions to bosons.

Unlike in Fermi systems, achieving a single Dirac cone in boson systems requires T breaking. This is because the T operator acts differently on bosons and fermions: T^2 = 1 for fermions with half-integer spins and T^2 = −1 for fermions with integer spins. As a result, T is not compatible with the Hamiltonian of a single Dirac cone, whereas T is (see Supplementary Information). Instead of T, the Dirac point degeneracy in our photonic crystal is protected by a glide reflection, which ensures an odd number of band crossings on two high-symmetry lines in the surface Brillouin zone (BZ; refs 12,13). This 3D topological photonic crystal is a material realization of the recently proposed nonsymmorphic topological phase¹¹–¹³,¹⁹ and can be regarded as a bosonic analogue of both the 3D topological insulator²–⁵ (in terms of the single surface Dirac cone) and the topological crystalline insulators⁸–¹⁰ (in terms of the crystal-symmetry protection) in electronic systems.

Our starting point is a photonic crystal having a body-centred-cubic (bcc) unit cell which contains four identical dielectric rods, illustrated with different colours for clarity in Fig. 1b. This crystal belongs to the nonsymmorphic space group No. 230 (Ia3d) that contains glide reflections and inversion. Interestingly, such a triply periodic structure self-assembles as disclination-line networks in the first blue phase of liquid crystals²⁰, denoted as BP I. Here, the dielectric constant (ε) of the rods is 11 and the radius is 0.13a, where a is the length of the cubic cell. In Fig. 1a, the photonic band structure of BP I shows a four-fold degenerate point at the P momentum, dispersing linearly in all directions of the 3D momentum space. Unlike a regular 3D Dirac point¹¹–¹²—a four-fold degeneracy point which splits into two sets of doublet bands along any direction—our four-fold degeneracy here splits into four bands along a generic direction. In Fig. 1a, this splitting is not obvious, because most dispersions still remain doubly degenerate along high-symmetry momentum lines. However, it is clear that the third and fourth bands split along P–T and the first and second bands split along P–H. We name this type of degeneracy¹³ a 3D ‘generalized Dirac point’ (GDP). We note that there are two non-equivalent P points in the 3D bcc BZ related by inversion. Interestingly, the two GDPs (at ±P) are the only band touchings between band 1,2 and 3,4. When the space group is perturbed, the GDPs could turn into line nodes, Weyl points¹⁴–²¹ or open bandgaps. Detailed studies of GDPs will be presented in another paper.

In nonsymmorphic space groups, where the point groups decouple from lattice translations, the highest dimension of group representation is three. The four-fold band degeneracies of the GDPs are hence the consequence of the nonsymmorphic symmetries of glide reflections and screw rotations in BP I. A nonsymmorphic symmetry is in general composed of a point group (mirror or rotation) followed by a fractional lattice translation, where neither of the two is a symmetry of the system. The important feature of a nonsymmorphic space group is the extra band degeneracies at the BZ boundaries²⁶–³¹. Because the screw rotations cannot be preserved on a planar surface, we focus on the glide reflections to obtain protected surface states. Shown in Fig. 1b, the (001) surface has two glide reflections: G₁ = {M₁(a/2)z – (a/2)y} and G₂ = {M₂(a/2)z}. The inversion centre is at the origin of the unit cell inside the glide plane of G₁. The top-view schematic illustrates the relations between the four rods under the two glide reflections. The (001) surface BZ is plotted in Fig. 1c.

A glide reflection ensures a linear point degeneracy along each glide-reflection-invariant momentum line. To see this, we study the Bloch states on the G₁-invariant lines of X–X and M–M shown dashed in the (001) surface BZ in the right-hand panel of Fig. 2a. A Bloch state with momentum (kₓ, kᵧ) is mapped to another state with momentum (kₓ, −kᵧ) under G₁, so for any state along these two lines with kᵧ = 0 and kₓ = π/a, its momentum is invariant under G₁. This means the eigenvalues of G₁ (g₁) are good quantum numbers
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for the Bloch states on these two lines. Because \( G^{-1} = \{1|\alpha\}, g_s(k) = \pm e^{-i|\alpha|/2} \) \( g_x(k) = e^{-i|\alpha|} \), which is dependent on \( k \). The two branches of glide-reflection eigenvalues always differ by a minus sign, and evolve into each other after a 2\( \pi \) transportation along the \( G\_r\)-invariant lines owing to the fact that \( g_x(k) = -g_x(k + (2\pi/\alpha)) \). As a result, the corresponding wavefunctions of the two branches have the same wending as their eigenvalues—a unique property of the half-lattice translation in glide reflections. Consequently, the two frequency eigenvalues of the two Bloch modes also switch values after transporting a period along the invariant momentum lines, illustrated in Fig. 2a. Assume the frequencies of the two modes are \( \omega^+ \) and \( \omega^- \) at an arbitrary \( k \) point (say \( k, a = 0 \)). The frequency dispersions switch their values at \( ka = 2\pi \). This switch ensures a crossing point (red dot) on \( X^\pm \) and \( M^\pm \), respectively. We argue these two protected double degeneracies give a \( Z_2 \) classification of the surface states \(^{15} \). Illustrated in the middle panel of Fig. 2a, there are two topologically inequivalent ways for these two point degeneracies to connect. The gapless connection is a signature of the topologically nontrivial surface state protected by \( G_s \).

We now break \( T \) in the BPI photonic crystal to open the bulk bandgap without breaking \( G_s \). Shown in Fig. 1d, the GDP at the P point lifts up into a bandgap when we apply alternating magnetizations on the rods along \( \hat{z} \). These magnetizations induce off-diagonal imaginary parts in the dielectric constant \( \varepsilon \) of materials with a gyromagnetic response \(^{32} \) (Ferrimagnetic materials with a gyromagnetic response \(^{32} \) give the same results in Supplementary Information.) Here \( \mu = 1 \) and

\[
\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_1 & \kappa & 0 \\
-\kappa & \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{\mu}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{\mu} = 11 \), \( \varepsilon_1^2 - |\alpha|^2 = \varepsilon_2^2 \) (ref. 24) and \( \kappa \) is a non-zero imaginary number when the magnetization \( (M_s) \) is present. In Fig. 1d, \( \kappa = -10i, -5i, +5i, +10i \) for the red, yellow, green and blue rods, respectively. This configuration preserves \( G_s \), because magnetization (magnetic field) flips sign under a mirror (reflection) operation. The 2D plane group of the resulting \( (001) \) surface is \( pg \).

The \( (001) \) surface state, plotted in Fig. 2b, has a single Dirac cone at the L point on the \( M^-M \) line, consistent with the glide-reflection degeneracy in Fig. 2a. By varying the magnetization or rod radius without breaking \( G_s \), the Dirac point L moves along the \( G_s \)-invariant line \( M^-M \). This single Dirac cone at L is connected gaplessly with the bulk bands across the bandgap. In Fig. 2c, we restore \( G_s \) to coexist with \( G_s \) by doubling the magnetization amplitude of the green and yellow rods \( (|\alpha|) \) from 5 to 10. The surface plane group becomes \( pgg \). Owing to this extra glide-reflection plane through the \( Y \) point, the surface Dirac cone is then pinned at \( Y \) on \( M^-M \). If we break both glide symmetries by de-magnetizing the yellow rod, both glide planes of \( G_s \) and \( G_s \) are broken and the surface plane group reduces to \( p1 \). The surface Dirac cone is now gapped, as shown in Fig. 2d. This demonstrates that the gapless surface states are indeed protected by the glide reflection.

The principle of bulk-edge correspondence says that the surface state is a holographic representation of the bulk topology. We demonstrate this correspondence between the surface states in Fig. 2 and the ‘hybrid Wannier centres’ of the bulk bands below the bandgap computed in Fig. 3. This approach is also known as the Wilson loops \(^{3-14} \). The hybrid Wannier Function of each band is a spatially localized wavefunction along \( z \), obtained from Fourier transforming the Bloch wavefunctions with respect to \( k \), while keeping the other two surface momenta. The \( z \)–position expectation values of the hybrid Wannier wavefunctions—that is, the hybrid Wannier centres, are equivalent to the Berry phases of the bulk bands below the gap along a loop in \( \hat{z} \) in the bulk \( BZ \). In our bcc lattice, this non-contractable loop of length \( 4\pi/\alpha \) (instead of \( 2\pi/\alpha \)) is the vector connecting \( \hat{H} \) and \( -\hat{H} \) in Fig. 1c. So the hybrid Wannier centre is well defined up to a lattice period of \( a/2 \) (instead of \( a \)) in \( \hat{z} \) and, similarly, the Berry phase has a \( 2\pi \) phase ambiguity. The calculations of the Berry phases are detailed in the Supplementary Information.

In the surface \( BZ \), a gapless spectrum of Wannier centres (Berry phases) indicates a nontrivial bulk topology and a gapless surface state. In contrast, a gapped spectrum represents a trivial bulk topology and the absence of gapless surface states. This can be understood by the following intuitive arguments. If there is a full gap in the spectrum of Wannier centres, then there is a certain position in \( z \) where no state is localized. Terminating the bulk at that plane results in a surface without surface states—the trivial surface states. On the other hand, if the Wannier centre plot is gapless, then for any surface termination there must be a localized surface state at some surface momentum. The surface is hence gapless for terminations at arbitrary \( z \)—a nontrivial surface. In Fig. 3, we plot the Wannier centres of the two lowest bands along the closed loop of...
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Figure 2 | The (001) surface states after breaking $T$. The surface and bulk dispersions are plotted in red and blue, respectively, along the surface momentum lines that go through the surface Dirac point twice to show its anisotropy. More surface data are plotted in Supplementary Fig. 1. a, Illustration of the two crossing points (double degeneracies) on the $G_y$-invariant lines of $M'$–M and $X'$–X (dotted) in the surface BZ. Consequently, the surface states can have a gapless connectivity which is topologically nontrivial. $M'$ and M are the same point in the BZ, so are $X'$ and X. b, Single Dirac cone at L, movable along the $M'$–M line protected by $G_y$. c, Single Dirac cone in b, pinned at the Y point owing to the coexistence of $G_x$ and $G_y$. d, Surface states in b,c gapped by breaking the glide-reflection symmetries. For all above surface calculations, a perfect metallic boundary is placed on top, at the centre of the cubic cell, on the (001) surface with an air gap spacing of 0.5a from the photonic crystal surface. The 3D plots of the surface dispersions are plotted on the right to show the behaviour of the surface Dirac cone. The 3D surface plots are centred at the Y point, with a span of $0.2\pi/a$ in $k_y$ and $0.1\pi/a$ in $k_x$ and a normalized frequency range between 0.41 and 0.43.

Figure 3 | Hybrid Wannier centres in the surface BZ indicating bulk topologies and the connections of the surface states. a, Gapless hybrid Wannier centres corresponding to the nontrivial surface states (pg) in Fig. 2b. b, Gapped hybrid Wannier centres corresponding to the trivial surface states (p1) in Fig. 2d. The hybrid Wannier centres corresponding to the p2gg surface in Fig. 2c are plotted in the Supplementary Information.

X–X′–M′–M–X′ in the surface BZ. Figure 3a depicts the hybrid Wannier centres calculated for the bulk bands in Fig. 1d, whose surface state is shown in Fig. 2b. Similarly, the hybrid Wannier centres in Fig. 3b correspond to the surface states shown in Fig. 2d. The Wannier centres are gapless in Fig. 3a, consistent with the existence of the gapless single surface Dirac cone in Fig. 2b. In comparison, the Wannier centres in Fig. 3b are gapped, also consistent with the absence of topological surface states in Fig. 2d. These data confirm the bulk-edge correspondence that the Wannier centres for all bulk bands below the bandgap are homotopic to the surface band structure of a semi-infinite system with one open surface.

Single-Dirac-cone surface states are fully robust and do not localize under arbitrary random disorders on the surface. This has been discussed in 3D topological insulators, where the surface states remain delocalized under random impurities of any type\textsuperscript{14,15}, assuming that spontaneous symmetry breaking does not occur. In our case, although individual defects break the glide reflection, their ensemble average does not. Intuitively, if one local disorder generates a positive Dirac mass term within a region on the surface, there must be a neighbouring region where the mass term is negative. A chiral edge mode exists along the edge between two regions with opposite masses, similar to the photonic oneway edge states\textsuperscript{37,32}, analogous to the quantum Hall effect. In the presence of strong disorder, these chiral edge modes percolate the surface and the surface states remain delocalized. The surface with a strong random disorder can be mapped to the electronic states at the critical point of a quantum Hall plateau transition, where chiral edge modes between regions of different Landau-level filling factors percolate. The transmission rate of light on the surface hence exhibits the universal scaling laws in the universality class of the quantum Hall plateau transitions\textsuperscript{32,35}. Free from any interaction, this single-Dirac-cone surface state is an ideal platform for studying the critical phenomena of ‘metal–insulator’ transitions in Dirac systems\textsuperscript{14,36}.

In 2D photonic crystals, topological band structures protected by $e^{-\mu}$ symmetry\textsuperscript{37,38} have been studied. However, symmetries in constitutive relations are difficult to maintain over a wide frequency bandwidth. Another 2D example discusses the bulk topology of $C_6$ rotation\textsuperscript{39}. Unfortunately, six-fold rotation cannot be preserved on
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the 1D edge and cannot protect edge states. In contrast, our glide reflection can be maintained for all materials at all frequencies with protected surface states.

Experimentally, the $T$-breaking BP1 photonic crystals can be readily realized at microwave frequencies by assembling ferrimagnetic rods with internal remnant magnetization, without the need for external magnetic fields. Towards optical frequencies, $T$-breaking could potentially be implemented through dynamic Floquet modulations. In addition, our approach for photons can be used directly for phonons, where $T$-breaking can be achieved by spinning the rods.

This work demonstrates that symmetry-protected 3D topological bandgaps supporting disorder-immune surface states can be obtained in bosonic systems. Spatial symmetries can be achieved by spinning the rods.
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I. COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN TIME-REVERSAL SYMMETRIES AND THE SINGLE-DIRAC-CONE SURFACE STATE

The key ingredient in achieving bosonic single Dirac surface states is the breaking of $\mathcal{T}$, which we prove by contradiction. The $\mathcal{T}$ operator differs fundamentally for particles with different spins: $T_f^2 = -1$ for fermions with half-integer spins while $T^2_b = 1$ for bosons with integer spins. Up to a choice of basis, the anti-unitary $\mathcal{T}$ operator can always be expressed as $T_f = \sigma_z K|_{k \rightarrow - k}$ and $T^b = K|_{k \rightarrow - k}$ for fermions and bosons, respectively. Here $\sigma_{x,y,z}$ are the Pauli matrices acting on the two-component wave-functions of a single Dirac cone. $T$ flips the sign of momentum ($k$) and $K$ is the complex conjugation. Let us consider the surface (say the $xy$ plane) of a 3D system with a bulk gap. In the presence of $\mathcal{T}$, a single Dirac cone can only appear at a $\mathcal{T}$-invariant momentum, in the vicinity of which the two-band Dirac Hamiltonian is denoted by $H_{SD}(k) = \sigma_x k_+ \sigma_1 + k_+ \sigma_2$. Here $k_{x,y}$ are the two surface momenta and $\sigma_{1,2}$ are two linearly independent Pauli matrices. $\mathcal{T}$-invariance implies $\mathcal{T}$ and $H_{SD}(k)$ commute, requiring the existence of at least two Pauli matrices that anti-commute with $\mathcal{T}$. For fermions, this is satisfied since all three Pauli matrices anti-commute with $T_f$. The anti-commutation relations forbid any mass term ($\sigma_3$) in $H_{SD}(k)$, justifying the $\mathcal{T}$-protected single Dirac surface states found in topological insulators. For bosons, however, $\sigma_z$ is the only Pauli matrix anti-commuting with $T^b$. So $T^b$ is not compatible with $H_{SD}$. Hence $\mathcal{T}$ has to be broken to linearly split the two bands in all surface directions away from the Dirac point, in order to form a single surface Dirac cone in photonic crystals.
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II. SINGLE-DIRAC-CONE SURFACE STATES (MORE DATA)

In Fig. S1, we plot the (001) surface states of a different surface termination and along a different momentum loop from what are plotted in Fig. 2.

A) (001) surface Brillouin zone.

B) “Un-pinned” Dirac cone (pg)

C) “Pinned” Dirac cone (p2gg)

D) Gapped surface state (p1)

FIG. S1: The (001) surface states after breaking T. A) (001) surface Brillouin zone. B) Single Dirac cones un-pinned along X−X′ and M−M′ lines protected by one glide reflection (G_y). C) Single Dirac cones pinned at X and Y points by the extra glide (G_x). D) Single Dirac cones are gapped due to the loss of glide reflections. A perfect metallic boundary is placed from top, at the center of the cubic cell, on the (001) surface with an air gap spacing of 0.4a from the photonic crystal surface.
III. FERRIMAGNETIC MATERIALS

Here we break $T$ with the gyromagnetic material instead of the gyroelectric material in the main text. For example, yttrium iron garnet (YIG) has strong gyromagnetic responses at microwave frequencies. The permittivity and permeability of the YIG crystal can be $\varepsilon = 11, \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{//} & \nu & 0 \\ -\nu & \mu_{//} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\nu$ is a non-zero imaginary number when the magnetization ($M_z$) is present and $\mu_0 = 1$. In Fig. S2, $\mu_{//} = 1.5$ and $\nu = -1.2i, -1.2i, +1.2i, +1.2i$ for the red, yellow, blue and green rods respectively. The bulk bandgap opens and the surface state has the same nontrivial connectivity as that is shown in Fig. 2C. These calculations were performed using a modified version of the MIT Photonic Bands [1].

FIG. S2: Bulk and surface band structures of ferrimagnetic (gyromagnetic) photonic crystals. A) Bulk band structure showing the gap opening of the GDP. B) Gapless $Z_2$ surface states of single Dirac cones at $X\equiv X'$ and $Y\equiv Y'$. A perfect metallic boundary is placed from top, at the center of the cubic cell, on the (001) surface with an air gap spacing of $0.4a$ from the photonic crystal surface.
IV. DISCUSSIONS OF HYBRID WANNIER-CENTER SPECTRA

Using the notation in Ref. [2], the hybrid Wannier centers \( z_n(k_\perp) \) and the equivalent Berry phases \( \phi_n(k_\perp) \) is related by \( z_n(k_\perp) = e^{i\phi_n(k_\perp)} \). Here \( n \) labels the band, \( k_\perp \) means the wavevector in the surface BZ perpendicular to \( k_z \). \( c \) is the real-space period in the direction of the surface, which is \( a/2 \) in our bcc lattice for (001) surface.

Hybrid Wannier centers \( \{z_n(k_\perp)\} \) are properties of the bulk. In addition to the symmetries on the surface, \( z_n(k_\perp) \) also have \( z \) to \(-z\) symmetries in the bulk. In our system, this\( z\)-symmetry is inversion. so \( z_n(k_\perp) = -z_n(-k_\perp) \) in all the plots in Fig. 3 and S3. At inversion-invariant momenta \( (X,M,\bar{\Gamma},Y) \) where \( k = -k \) up to a reciprocal vector, \( \sum_n z_n(k_\perp) = 0 \) or \( \pi \). If the Wannier centers have a single crossing in the closed loop of \( X' - \bar{\Gamma} - X \) or \( M - Y - M' \), the crossing point must locate at one of the inversion-invariant points. The above arguments are all consistent with the three plots of Fig. 3A,B and Fig. S3.

Fig. S3 plots the hybrid Wannier centers in the surface BZ of surface symmetry \( p2gg \). Due to the high symmetry, the two Wannier centers are completely degenerate on the \( X' - \bar{\Gamma} - X \) line at the phase value of \( \pi \). The 2D vertical plane in the bulk BZ containing \( X' - \bar{\Gamma} - X \) is the only plane on which every \( k \) point is invariant under \( G_z \). Consequently, the lowest two bulk bands on this vertical plane can be separately labeled by the two \( G_z \) eigenvalues of \( g_{\bar{\Gamma}}^\perp \). On the other hand, the multiplication of \( G_y \) and inversion \( (P) \) also transforms the Bloch states, within this vertical plane, from \( (k_x,0,k_z) \) into \( (k_x,0,-k_z) \). In addition, \( [G_y,P,G_y] = 0 \). This commutation relation means that these two operators share the same eigenstates on the plane, so that we can transforming the states within each separate branch of the two bulk bands labeled by \( g_{\bar{\Gamma}}^\perp \). Since \( G_y \) transforms \( k_z \) into \(-k_z \) in the plane. This\( z\)-symmetry requires \( \bar{z}(k_\perp) = -\bar{z}(k_\perp) \), i.e. Berry phases of 0 or \( \pi \) for both branches of the two bulk bands, for \( k_\perp \) on the \( X' - \bar{\Gamma} - X \) line.

![FIG. S3: The gapless hybrid Wannier centers corresponds to the non-trivial surface states (p2gg) in Fig. 2C.](image)

V. CALCULATION OF BERRY PHASES (HYBRID WANNIER CENTERS)

The multi-band non-Abelian Berry phases are calculated through the linking matrices \( M_{mn}^{k,k_\perp+\Delta k} \) of the Bloch wavefunctions \( u_{mk} \) between the neighbouring two \( k \) points. \( M_{mn}^{k,k_\perp+\Delta k} = \langle u_{mk} | u_{mn}(k_\perp+\Delta k) \rangle \). We multiply the linking matrices to be the Wilson loop \( W(k_\perp) = \prod M_{k_\perp,k_\perp+\Delta k} \) along the closed loop in the BZ– a parallel transport cycle. The Wilson loop eigenvalues are \( \lambda_n(k_\perp) \) and the Berry phases are \( \phi_n(k_\perp) = \text{Im}[\log \lambda_n(k_\perp)] \).

The key of this calculation is fixing the periodic gauge at the two end points \( (k_0 \) and \( k_{\text{last}} \) differ by a reciprocal vector \( \mathbf{G} \) in the bulk BZ. We set \( u(k_{\text{last}}) = u(k_0 + \mathbf{G}) = e^{-i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}} u(k_0) \). For other \( k \) points in the loop, gauge fixing is not required.